Transplanted Cells Are Essential for the Induction But Not the Expression of Cortical Plasticity
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Transplantation of even a small number of embryonic inhibitory neurons from the medial ganglionic eminence (MGE) into postnatal visual cortex makes it lose responsiveness to an eye deprived of vision when the transplanted neurons reach the age of the normal critical period of activity-dependent ocular dominance (OD) plasticity. The transplant might induce OD plasticity in the host circuitry or might instead construct a parallel circuit of its own to suppress cortical responses to the deprived eye. We transplanted MGE neurons expressing either archaerhodopsin or channelrhodopsin into the visual cortex of both male and female mice, closed one eyelid for 4–5 d, and, as expected, observed transplant-induced OD plasticity. This plasticity was evident even when the activity of the transplanted cells was suppressed or enhanced optogenetically, demonstrating that the plasticity was produced by changes in the host visual cortex.
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Significance Statement

Interneuron transplantation into mouse V1 creates a window of heightened plasticity that is quantitatively and qualitatively similar to the normal critical period; that is, short-term occlusion of either eye markedly changes ocular dominance (OD). The underlying mechanism of this process is not known. Transplanted interneurons might either form a separate circuit to maintain the OD shift or might instead trigger changes in the host circuitry. We designed experiments to distinguish the two hypotheses. Our findings suggest that while inhibition produced by the transplanted cells triggers this form of plasticity, the host circuitry is entirely responsible for maintaining the OD shift.

Introduction

Normal brain development is marked by temporally restricted windows of heightened experience-dependent plasticity known as critical periods (CPs). During a CP in primary visual cortex (V1)—postnatal day (P) 25–35 in mouse—changes in neuronal circuitry facilitate the matching of left eye and right eye receptive fields in V1 binocular neurons (Wang et al., 2010; for review, see Espinosa and Stryker, 2012). Occlusion of vision of either eye over this time, referred to as monocular deprivation (MD), prevents binocular matching and results in structural and functional changes that reduce neural responses to the deprived eye and increase them to the eye that remains open (Wiesel and Hubel, 1963). After the end of the CP, visual cortical plasticity in response to MD is qualitatively and quantitatively different: it consists mainly of an increase in responsiveness to the nondeprived eye with little or no reduction in deprived-eye responses and is much slower (Sato and Stryker, 2008).

Local inhibitory interneurons in V1 play a crucial role in opening the CP for ocular dominance (OD) plasticity, which begins ~35 d after these neurons are generated in the medial ganglionic eminence (MGE) (Hensch et al., 1998; Hanover et al.,...
To dissect the precise contribution of inhibitory interneurons in OD plasticity, it is essential to draw a distinction between induction and expression of the OD shift. Induction of OD shift consists of a set of mechanisms (e.g., enhanced inhibition) that facilitate the occurrence and magnitude of the OD shift (Feldman, 2000). Conversely, expression refers to the changes in cortical circuitry that maintain the reduced response to the deprived eye (Gandhi et al., 2008). Although inhibitory neuron activity is crucial in inducing the normal CP (Hensch et al., 1998; Kuhlman et al., 2013), the expression of the plasticity following MD no longer depends on inhibition (Saiepour et al., 2015). Here, we investigated whether the MGE transplants produce a second CP of OD plasticity by stimulating changes in host circuitry or, alternatively, by constructing a separate parallel circuit within the host tissue to inhibit deprived-eye responses and disinhibit non-deprived-eye responses. We transplanted inhibitory interneurons expressing either archaerhodopsin-3 or channelrhodopsin-2 that allowed us to silence or activate the transplanted interneurons after plasticity is induced by 4–5 d of MD during the second CP. Our results indicate that an OD shift induced by brief MD persists under conditions of either reduced or enhanced transplant-derived inhibition. Although manipulation of the activity of transplanted interneurons after plasticity has been induced alters the OD of some individual neurons, it does not change the overall OD at the population level. Our findings reveal that transplanted interneurons are not responsible for the expression of OD plasticity but rather facilitate its induction in the host circuitry.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals. All protocols and procedures followed the guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Program at the University of California–San Francisco under Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee Protocol AN143347-03A. All mice were housed in standard conditions on a 12 h dark/light cycle. Experiments were performed during the dark, more active phase of the cycle. Ai40 (JAX Stock No: 021188), Ai32 (012569), Gad2-Cre (010802), and wild-type C57BL/6J (000664) lines were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and maintained in our housing facility. This study was performed in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health.

Cell dissection and transplantation. The ventricular and subventricular zones of the MGE were dissected from E13.5 donor embryos as in Vogt et al. (2015). The tissue was dissociated by repeated pipetting in Leibovitz’s L-15 medium containing 100U/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich, D5025-15KU). Dissociated cells were concentrated via centrifugation (800 rcf for 4 min). P5–P8 recipients were anesthetized via hypothermia until pedal reflex disappeared and then placed on a stereotaxic platform for injection of concentrated cells (250–350 cells/ml, 150 nl per injection for 100–200 K cells total injected) through a beveled Drummond glass micropipette positioned 45 degrees from vertical. Three injections were placed into the caudal left cortex at 1.5 mm anterior from lambda, 3.5 mm lateral from midline and 1.5 mm anterior, 1 mm lateral, and 3 mm anterior. Injections were made at a depth of 0.5–1.0 mm from the surface of the brain. After injection, recipients were placed on a heating pad until warm and active at which time they were returned to their mothers until weaning (P28). At the end of the experiment, brains were harvested, sliced, and imaged (see Fig. 1).

Head-plate surgery and alert recording apparatus. To allow for stable recordings, the animal’s head was fixed to a rigid crossbar above the floating ball. This was achieved by attaching a titanium head plate (circular center with a 5 mm central opening) to the skull 1 week before the recording (28 DAT).

For head-plate attachment, animals were anesthetized with isoflurane in oxygen (3% induction, 1.5% maintenance) and given a subcutaneous injection of Meloxicam (10 mg/kg) to reduce inflammation, a subcutaneous injection (0.05 ml) of lidocaine above the skull as a local anesthetic, and a subcutaneous injection of 0.2 ml of saline to prevent dehydration. After a scalp incision, the fascia was cleared from the surface of the skull and the titanium head plate was then attached with Metabond (Parkell), covering the entire skull, except for the region in the center of the head plate, which was covered with a 0.2% benzethonium chloride solution (New-Skin Liquid Bandage) to protect the skull. After recovery, the animal was allowed to habituate to the recording setup by spending ~1 h on the floating ball over the course of 2–3 d, during which time the animal was allowed to run freely. The polystyrene ball was constructed using two hollow 200-mm-diameter halves (Graham Sweet Studios) placed on a shallow polystyrene bowl (250 mm in diameter, 25 mm thick) with a single air inlet at the bottom. Two optical USB mice, placed 1 mm away from the edge of the ball, were used to sense rotation of the floating ball and transmit signals to our data analysis system using custom driver software. These measurements are used to divide data into still and running trials and analyze them separately.

Monocular deprivation and microelectrode recording. Four or 5 d before extracellular recording, monocular deprivation was induced by suturing the contralateral eyelid shut and was checked daily to confirm eyelid closure (see Fig. 1A).

Extracellular recording was performed in awake, head-fixed mice that were free to run on the floating polystyrene ball (see Fig. 2A). On the day of recording, the animal was anesthetized with isoflurane (3% induction, 1.5% maintenance) and sutures were removed to open the eyelid. The liquid bandage was removed from the skull, and a craniotomy of ~1–2 mm in diameter was made above the binocular zone of V1 (identified by intrinsic signal imaging). This small opening was large enough to allow for insertion of a 1.1-mm-long double-shank 128-channel electrode (Du et al., 2011), fabricated by the Masmanidis laboratory (University of California–Los Angeles) and assembled by the Litke laboratory (University of California–Santa Cruz). After animals recovered from anesthesia, the electrode was placed at an angle of 20–40° to the normal of the cortical surface and inserted to a depth of 500–1000 μm. An optical fiber (400 μm diameter) coupled to a light source (green LED for archaerhodopsin, peak intensity ~95 mW/mm² at 595 nm; blue LED for channelrhodopsin, peak intensity ~66 mW/mm² at 473 nm) was positioned above the cranial window, centered on the binocular region, to illuminate V1. Recordings were started 1 h after electrode insertion. For each animal, the electrode was inserted not more than twice.

Visual stimuli. Stimuli were displayed on an LCD monitor (Dell, 30 × 40 cm, 60 Hz refresh rate, 32 cd/m² mean luminance) placed 25 cm from the mouse (~20° to +40° elevation) without gamma correction. Drifting sinusoidal gratings at 12 evenly spaced directions (40 repetitions, 1.5 s duration, 0.04 cycles per degree, and 1 Hz temporal frequency) were generated and presented in random sequence using the MATLAB Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard, 1997; Kleiner et al., 2007) followed by 1.5 s blank period of uniform 50% gray.

Data acquisition. Movement signals from the optical mice were acquired in an event-driven mode at up to 300 Hz, and integrated at 100 ms intervals and then converted to the net physical displacement of the top surface of the ball. A mouse was said to be running on a single trial if its average speed for the first 500 ms of the trial fell above a threshold, found individually for each mouse (1–3 cm/s), depending on the noise levels of the mouse tracker. Data acquisition was performed using an Intan Technologies RHD2000-Series Amplifier Evaluation System, sampled at 20 kHz; recording was triggered by a TTL pulse at the moment visual stimulation began. All data, code, and materials used in
the analysis are available online at https://github.com/Mahmood-Hoseini/TransplantRoleODIExpression-JNeurosci.

Single-neuron analysis. Single units were identified using Mountain-Sort (Chung et al., 2017), which allows for fully automated spike sorting of the data acquired using 128-site electrodes and runs at ~2× real time. Manual curation after a run on 1 h of data takes an additional half hour, typically yielding 90 (range 50–130) isolated single units. Average waveforms of isolated single units were used to calculate three parameter by which cells were classified into narrow- or broad-spiking (Niell and Stryker, 2008). The parameters are as follows: the height of the positive peak relative to the negative trough, the slope of the waveform 0.5 ms after the negative trough, and the time from the negative trough to the peak (see Fig. 2B).

Mice were excluded under several conditions: (1) not enough transplanted cells observed in the binocular V1 (n = 7), (2) transplanted cells did not migrate to be able to establish proper connections with the host neurons (n = 3), or (3) the eyelid was not sutured properly (n = 1). Spikes from two or more units that could not be cleanly divided were classified as multiunit clusters and were excluded from further analysis. Running speed of the animal was used to divide trials into either a running or still state. For each single-unit, either the running or the still trials were used depending on whichever were more frequent. Cells with <10 trials were discarded.

Intrinsic signal imaging. After microelectrode recordings, OD of V1 was determined using intrinsic signal imaging (Cang et al., 2005). Mice were anesthetized with 0.7% isoflurane and a single i.p. injection of 2–5 mg/kg chlorpromazine. The head plate was secured to a stereotaxic frame, filled with agarose, and topped with a coverslip to provide a flat imaging surface. Animals were maintained at 37.5°C, measured using a rectal thermometer, and eyes were protected with silicone oil. Intrinsic signal images were acquired at 30 frames per second using a Dalsa 1M30 CCD camera with a red interference filter. The camera was focused 550 μm from the cortical surface and collected 610 nm light that was reflected off the visual cortex through the skull.

Visual response amplitude was defined as the average signal amplitude for each pixel and an OD index (ODI) was computed as (C − 1)/(C + 1) where C is the response to contralateral visual stimulation and I is the response to ipsilateral visual stimulation (Cang et al., 2005).

Immunostaining. To determine whether transplanted cells were in the binocular zone of V1, animals were perfused transcardially after microelectrode recording first with ice-cold PBS and then with a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) prepared in PBS. The brains were harvested electrode recording first with ice-cold PBS and then with a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) prepared in PBS. The brains were harvested paraformaldehyde (PFA) prepared in PBS. The brains were harvested

OD (Experiment 1) and if delivering the same opticogenetic light affects OD (Experiment 2). For Experiment 2, we recorded from 4 mice (2 females and 2 males) that did not receive any transplant. All data are shown in the scatter plots of Figure 2. The main source of variability for all of these experiments is the individual neurons. Statistical significance was done in MATLAB and Python using a Mann–Whitney U, two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov, Wilcoxon rank-sum, Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Correction for multiple comparisons were done using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure with a false discovery rate of 10% (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) (https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/27418-fdr_bh). All data are presented as mean ± SEM unless stated otherwise.

Results

Transplantation of MGE-derived interneurons into the visual cortex of adult mice induces a second CP of OD plasticity (Southwell et al., 2010). It is unknown what circuit changes are responsible for induction and maintenance of this form of OD plasticity. More specifically, is transplant-induced OD plasticity a consequence of changes in host circuitry like those in the normal CP, or is it instead the product of a parallel circuit constructed by the transplanted neurons themselves? To discriminate between the two alternative hypotheses, we transplanted MGE interneurons expressing either archaerhodopsin-3 (RCL-ArchT-EGFP) (Han et al., 2011) or channelrhodopsin-2 (RCL-ChR2-EYFP) (Madisen et al., 2012) to enable us to silence or activate them after plasticity was induced by 4–5 d of MD during the second CP ~33 DAT (Fig. 1A).

Embryonic MGE-derived interneurons of age 13.5 d after gestation that express excitatory or inhibitory opsins were transplanted into the primary visual cortex of wild-type recipients. Transplanted interneurons dispersed and engrafted into the host cortex (Fig. 1B) and induced a second CP at 33 DAT revealed by 4 d of MD (Fig. 1C; average ODI, transplanted: mean ± SEM = 0.24 ± 0.03 to −0.01 ± 0.01, p = 0.01, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 8 mice; control: 0.24 ± 0.05 to 0.18 ± 0.03, p = 0.07, n = 4 mice). Both experimental and control ODIs and OD shifts are consistent with the published data (Southwell et al., 2010; Saeipour et al., 2015; Larimer et al., 2016; Priya et al., 2019) and the absolute OD shift in all transplanted mice were larger than the corresponding measure in any of the control group (p = 0.004, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). The overall density of transplanted cells in the host primary visual cortex at P46 was 109 ± 9 cells/mm² (Fig. 1D), and given the average neuronal density of ~50,000 cells/mm², transplanted cells constitute ~5% of the number of interneurons in the host cortex. The number of transplanted cells across all the experimental mice was far more than the number that is required to induce the second CP (Tang et al., 2014; Larimer et al., 2016).

As the first control experiment, we confirmed that population activity measured with multisite microelectrodes reliably estimates OD. We performed extracellular recordings of binocular V1 zone of normally-reared, awake, head-fixed mice that did not receive any transplanted cells (Fig. 2A). Average spike waveforms were used to calculate three parameters by which cells could be classified unambiguously into narrow- or broad-spiking (Niell and Stryker, 2008) (Fig. 2B). Narrow-spiking cells are almost exclusively fast-spiking interneurons, whereas broad-spiking cells are ~90% excitatory and 10% inhibitory cells (Connors and Kriegstein, 1986; Barthó et al., 2004; Atencio and Schreiner, 2008). Hereafter, for clarity, we refer to the narrow- and broad-spiking units as inhibitory and excitatory neurons respectively. Recordings from normally-reared mice reveal that ODI of population activity results in values similar to what has been reported
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using intrinsic signal imaging (Fig. 2C; average ODI, 0.252 ± 0.022, n = 240). In addition, our data indicates that inhibitory neurons respond more strongly to the deprived eye and have corresponding larger ODI (Gandhi et al., 2008) (Fig. 2C; average ODI, 0.18 ± 0.03, n = 132 excitatory cells, 3 mice; 0.33 ± 0.03, n = 108 inhibitory cells; p = 0.002, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

As the second control experiment, we tested for possible non-specific light effects on the cortex of wild-type mice that did not receive any transplants but were subjected to 4 d of MD during the normal CP. Each eye’s responses to visual stimuli were recorded with and without optogenetic light on interleaved visual stimulus trials. As expected, delivering the optogenetic stimulus light (green, 532 nm) did not change network activity in either stimulus trials. As expected, delivering the optogenetic stimulus light to V1 did not alter ODI at the population-level of either cell type (Fig. 2H,F). A small fraction of excitatory cells (3 of the 105) cells in these control animals were significantly modulated by the optogenetic stimulus light while visual stimuli were presented to nondeprived and deprived eyes (Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p = 0.003; 4 mice). Only 2 and 3 of 55 inhibitory cells displayed firing rate changes with optogenetics while visual stimuli were presented to nondeprived and deprived eyes, respectively (adjusted p = 0.020). Not surprisingly, delivering optogenetic stimulus light to V1 did not alter ODI at the population-level of either cell type (Fig. 2H,F). Average ODI, light off to light on: -0.062 ± 0.038 to -0.071 ± 0.041, n = 105 excitatory; 0.098 ± 0.046 to 0.112 ± 0.047, n = 55 inhibitory cells, 4 mice).

As a final control experiment, we tested the effectiveness of optogenetic light in suppressing the activity of interneurons expressing ArchT. For this purpose, we recorded visual responses in a young donor mouse (strain Gad2-Cre:Ai40, P34) with and without optogenetic stimulation. In line with earlier studies (Cardin, 2012; Chuong et al., 2014; Fukunaga et al., 2014; Bukalo et al., 2015), optogenetic stimulation significantly reduces the activity in nearly all of the interneurons expressing ArchT (Fig. 3; average firing rate; light off, 23.5 ± 4.2 Hz; light on, 5.0 ± 0.8 Hz; p = 7e-4, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 17 cells). These data demonstrate the efficacy of optogenetic activation of ArchT in suppressing neural activity.

Next, we tested whether optogenetic suppression of the transplanted interneurons was effective in altering network activity. Animals were transplanted with MGE-derived interneuron precursors expressing archaerhodopsin-3 (RCL-ArchT-EGFP) and were subjected to 4–5 d of MD during the transplant-mediated CP (Fig. 1A). Each eye’s responses to visual stimuli were recorded with and without optogenetic perturbation of the activity of the transplanted interneurons on interleaved stimulus trials. Suppressing the activity of transplanted cells after they induce plasticity reduced the visual responses of many inhibitory neurons (Fig. 4A,B). Of 136 inhibitory neurons recorded, 55 and 23 were significantly modulated by the optogenetic stimulus light in response to nondeprived and deprived eyes (p = 0.055, Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted, 63 cells show significant modulation in response to either eye; 10 mice). Most of the inhibitory neuron recordings are likely to have been from host neurons because the number of transplanted interneurons is very low and they disperse widely throughout the recipient tissue, making the probability of recording from them low.

Although optogenetic suppression of the transplants modulated inhibitory neuron activity in both directions, significant decreases were more common. The seemingly contradictory increases in inhibitory-cell firing rates are, however, expected in a balanced inhibition-stabilized network, and only a minority of cells is expected to show a detectable change in activity under perturbation (see Fig. 10 in Sadegh et al., 2017). Although reducing transplant-derived inhibition caused an increase in the firing rates of some excitatory cells, such enhanced excitation might activate other inhibitory cells (Moore et al., 2018). Figure 4C shows that optogenetic suppression affected nondeprived-eye responses somewhat more strongly than it did deprived-eye responses. For the nondeprived eye, 21 cells were positively and 34 negatively modulated; while for the deprived eye, 6 and 17 cells, respectively, were positively and negatively modulated. Significant changes in the activity of interneurons were...
observed across all cortical layers and in all 10 mice studied (Fig. 4D; Tables 1 and 2).

Optogenetic suppression of the activity of transplanted cells also affected the excitatory neurons, all of which were derived from the host (Fig. 5A). Again, the modulation of the excitatory populations was in both directions: the visual responses of some cells increased and that of others decreased, whereas the majority of the cells did not show any significant changes in their firing rate (Fig. 5B). Of 273 excitatory cells, 53 and 22 were significantly modulated with optogenetic light in response to nondeprived (open circles) and deprived (solid circles) eyes (Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted \( p < 0.002 \), Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Among the nondeprived-eye responses of excitatory neurons, 37 and 16 cells were positively and negatively modulated, respectively; for deprived-eye responses, 12 cells were positively and 10 cells negatively modulated.

Having verified that optogenetic stimulation was effective in suppressing the activity of transplanted cells, we then asked whether the plasticity produced by MD persisted when transplanted-cell activity was suppressed. First, we found that the OD shifts following 4 d MD during the transplant-induced CP measured by single unit responses confirmed those measured by intrinsic signal imaging in that they were all significant but somewhat smaller than those following MD in the normal CP
(average ODI after MD; Fig. 2, normal CP, $-0.006 \pm 0.030$, $n = 160$ cells; Fig. 6A, B, second CP, $0.102 \pm 0.015$, $n = 578$ cells; $p = 0.0008$, Wilcoxon signed-rank test). Second, we found that suppressing transplanted cells did not cause a consistent change in the ODIs of either the excitatory cells (Fig. 6A; average ODI, optogenetic excitation light off to light on: $0.067 \pm 0.019$ to $0.046 \pm 0.019$, $p = 0.12$, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; $n = 273$ cells, 10 mice) or the inhibitory cells (Fig. 6B; average ODI, light off to light on: $0.189 \pm 0.024$ to $0.166 \pm 0.027$, $p = 0.12$; $n = 136$ cells, 10 mice). Although the ODI had certainly been shifted by the deprivation from control values (Fig. 6C), the shift persisted under optogenetic suppression. Optogenetic suppression caused no decrease in firing rate with optogenetic suppression of transplanted cells. For example inhibitory cell that shows a decrease in firing rate with optogenetic suppression of transplanted cells. Spike raster for a representative inhibitory neuron across trials with its instantaneous firing rate shown at the bottom (20 ms bins). Shaded area shows the duration of optogenetic suppression. Green shading shows the duration of optogenetic suppression. B, Evoked mean firing rate, averaged over all visual stimuli for a 1 s window following stimulus onset, of inhibitory cells with optogenetic suppression (light on) against control (light off) in responses recorded from both eyes (slope = $0.97$, $r = 0.97$). Colored dots represent cells that have significant firing rate modulation. Of 136 inhibitory cells, 55 and 23 cells are significantly modulated with optogenetic silencing in response to nondeprived (open circles) and deprived (solid circles) eyes (Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted $p = 0.055$), 63 cells show significant modulation in either eye responses (10 mice). Gray dashed line indicates unity. Arrowhead indicates the example cell shown in A. The ratio of light on to light off average firing rates of inhibitory neurons for nondeprived- (left, 21 and 34 cells positively and negatively modulated, respectively; $1.077 \pm 0.003$) and deprived- (right, 6 and 17 cells positively and negatively modulated, respectively; $0.971 \pm 0.002$) eye responses. C, The ratio of light on to light off average firing rates against cortical depth, measured from the top channel in the brain, for inhibitory cells ($p = 0.01$, $r = 0.89$, Spearman rank-order correlation).
was observed between changes in ODI and cortical depths (slope = 1.03, r = 0.98). Colored dots represent cells that have significant firing rate modulation. Of 273 excitatory cells, 53 and 22 cells are significantly modulated with optogenetic light in response to nondeprived (open circles) and deprived (solid circles) eyes (Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p = 0.029). 66 cells show significant modulation in response to either eye stimulation (10 mice). Gray dashed line indicates unity. Arrowhead indicates the example cell shown in A. C. The ratio of light on to light off average firing rates of excitatory neurons for nondeprived- (left, 37 and 16 cells positively and negatively modulated, respectively; 1.065 ± 0.001) and deprived- (right, 12 and 10 cells positively and negatively modulated, respectively; 1.013 ± 0.001) eye responses. D. Change in the ratio of mean firing rates against cortical depth, measured from the top channel in the brain, for excitatory cells (p = 0.09, r = 0.08, Spearman rank-order correlation).

consistent change even in the subset of cells that were significantly affected by the optogenetic stimulus (p = 0.3, excitatory; p = 0.59, inhibitory; Wilcoxon rank-sum test), consistent with previous reports in the normal CP (Khibnik et al., 2010; Saiepour et al., 2015). The difference of ODI distributions between excitatory and inhibitory cells raises the possibility that they may show different plasticity. However, the cumulative distributions of ODI indicate that the inhibitory cells continued to be more biased toward the deprived eye than the excitatory cells as they are in control animals, showing that the two populations are more or less similarly affected by MD (Fig. 6C; light off, p = 0.002; light on, p = 0.005; two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). Consistent with the fact that the optogenetic stimulation affects activity across all cortical depth (Figs. 4D, 5D), no consistent relationship was observed between changes in ODI and cortical depths (Fig. 6D; excitatory, p = 0.05, p = 0.26; inhibitory, p = 0.09, p = 0.23; Spearman rank-order correlation).

An alternative approach to determine whether transplantation specifically inhibits deprived-eye responses after MD is to activate the transplanted cells. Since optogenetic activation and inactivation of interneurons need not produce symmetric effects (Phillips and Hasenstaub, 2016; Moore et al., 2018), the outcome of this experiment is not trivial. To activate transplanted cells optogenetically, donor embryos expressing the gene for channelrhodopsin-2 in inhibitory neurons were generated by crossing Gad2-Cre mouse line with Ai32 (RCl-Chr2-EYFP) line. After transplantation of MGE neurons at P6 and 4–5 d of MD 35 d thereafter, recordings similar to those in which the transplanted cells carried an inhibitory opsin were performed (Fig. 1A). As expected, activating the transplanted interneurons altered activity in both cell types and across cortical depths. Of 405 excitatory cells, 76 and 61 were significantly modulated by the optogenetic stimulus light in response to nondeprived and deprived eyes, respectively (Fig. 7A; 95 cells show significant modulation in response to either eye; Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p = 0.021, 4 mice). Of 244 inhibitory cells, 52 and 48 were significantly modulated by the optogenetic stimulus light in response to nondeprived and deprived eyes, respectively (Fig. 7B; 79 cells show significant modulation in response to either eye; Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p = 0.018; 4 mice). However, the optogenetic activation did not consistently favor one eye over the other, and the shifted ODI did not significantly change for either excitatory or inhibitory cells (Fig. 7C–F; excitatory, ODI change, 0.005 ± 0.010, p = 0.76, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, n = 405 cells from 5 mice; inhibitory, −0.007 ± 0.013, p = 0.57; n = 244). Together, these findings confirm the hypothesis that the activity of transplanted cells is not required for the expression of OD plasticity, indicating rather that transplantation induces plasticity in the host circuit.
Discussion

How plausible is that a small number of transplanted interneurons, typically 10% of the number of interneurons in the host tissue, could form a parallel circuit to directly inhibit the responses to the deprived eye? Because excitation and inhibition are finely balanced in the V1 cortical network (Troyer et al., 1998) an increase of the inhibition of the deprived eye’s pathway by 10% would be expected to reduce its response substantially and could account for the OD shift. However, our findings reveal that transplanted neurons do not form a parallel circuit to mediate the expression of OD shift; rather they facilitate changes in the host circuit.

How do underlying neuronal mechanisms of the transplant-induced CP compare to the normal CP in developing visual cortex? The similarities can be recapitulated as follows: First, it has been shown previously that the maturation of GABAergic inhibitory circuits is essential for the induction of the normal CP (Hensch, 2005; Espinosa and Stryker, 2012). Similarly, the timing of transplant-induced CP is dictated by the maturation of the transplanted cells (Southwell et al., 2010). Second, optogenetic suppression of host interneurons alters cortical responses but does not affect the OD of the population after MD during the normal CP (Saijpour et al., 2015), indicating that the plasticity induced during the normal CP is not a selective inhibition of deprived eye pathways and disinhibition of pathways serving the nondeprived eye. Excitatory pathways are modified during the normal CP (Espinosa and Stryker, 2012). The present findings suggest a similar mechanism for transplant-induced OD plasticity. Our findings imply that OD plasticity in a property of the host network and not merely of the transplanted inhibitory cells.

If the endogenous inhibitory circuit is compromised by a reduction in GABA release, the normal CP does not start until it is rescued by pharmacological enhancement of GABAergic transmission (Hensch et al., 1998; Fagiolini and Hensch, 2000). Likewise, transplanted interneurons that lack the vesicular GABA transporter (and consequently fail to inhibit the neurons that they contact) fail to induce a second CP (Priya et al., 2019), despite the fact that they appear to develop similarly to wild-type neurons in that they disperse broadly in the host visual cortex, retain normal anatomical and electrophysiological properties, and receive effective synaptic inputs. Their failure to induce a second CP indicates that the output of these cells is essential for the plasticity to be reinstated.

Specific changes in the activity of fast-spiking, parvalbumin-positive basket interneurons have been shown to regulate the normal CP for OD plasticity (Kuhlman et al., 2011; Hengen et al., 2013; Figueroa Velez et al., 2017). However, either parvalbumin- or somatostatin-positive cells can induce a second CP after transplantation into the visual cortex (Tang et al., 2014). Recent findings on the development of somatostatin-positive cells raise the possibility that they too may regulate the normal CP (Yaeger et al., 2019). These findings all point to a unique role for MGE-derived interneurons in triggering subsequent competitive plasticity in excitatory connections. CGE-derived interneurons by contrast are incompetent to induce OD plasticity (Larimer et al., 2016).

Adult OD plasticity is qualitatively and quantitatively different from CP OD plasticity (Espinosa and Stryker, 2012). First, CP plasticity is faster, stronger, and more persistent; a short MD is enough to induce an observable shift in OD while longer MD is required to cause comparable OD shift in adult mice (Sawtell et al., 2003). Second, the OD shift in adults induced is predominantly mediated by an increase in open eye responses with only a small decrease in deprived-eye responses (Sawtell et al., 2003; Hofer et al., 2006; Sato and Stryker, 2008). In contrast, OD plasticity during CP incorporates a strong decrease in deprived-eye responses followed by a significant increase in nondeprived-eye responses (for review, see Espinosa and Stryker, 2012). A number of recent studies have disclosed manipulations that activate and/or preserve CP-like plasticity in adult animal, including sensory-motor interaction (Kaneko and Stryker, 2014), social experience (Balog et al., 2014), environmental enrichment (Greifzu et al., 2014), dark rearing (Duffy and Mitchell, 2013), and various pharmacological interventions (Morishita et al., 2010; Nabel and Morishita, 2013; Hübener and Bonhoeffer, 2014; Sadahiro et al., 2017).
Together, the present findings reveal that transplant-induced plasticity is not merely the result of a parallel circuit of transplanted cells but rather is a property of the host network that is induced but not maintained by the transplanted cells. How the small number of transplanted neurons induces the second CP induced but not maintained by the transplanted cells. How the plasticity is not merely the result of a parallel circuit of trans-synaptic connections.

In the synaptic connections.
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